

## SERVICE CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE SCORECARD

SECTION I – SCORECARD INFORMATION				
Scorecard Type:	Select type	Prepared By:		
Scorecard #:		Date:		

SECTION II – SERVICE CONTRACTOR DATA	SECTION III – CONTRACT DATA		
Purchase Order #:	Bid Call #:		
Vendor's Name:	Location: Ward		Ward: #
Vendor's Address:	Contract Start Date:	Actual Start Date:	
	Contract Completion Date:	Actual Complet	ion Date:
Vendor's Phone:			
Vendor Contact:	Contract Award Amount:	Final Contract Amount:	
Brief Description of Work:			

RATINGS GUIDE			
SCORE		DESCRIPTION	
N/A	NOT APPLICABLE	Deliverable or task is not applicable to this contract.	
2	3 POOR	Deliverable or task is substantially deficient. Issue identified. Not resolved. Negative	
5		impact on Budget and or Schedule and or Quality.	
5	BELOW STANDARD	Deliverable or task is somewhat deficient. Issue identified. Resolved. However, negative	
5	5 BELOW STANDARD	impact on Budget and or Schedule and or Quality.	
7	7 STANDARD	Deliverable or task is acceptable. Issue identified and proactively resolved. No impact on	
/		Budget and or Schedule and or Quality.	
9 ABOVE STANDARD		Deliverable or task is performed with exceptional service. No issues identified, or	
	ABOVE STANDARD	proactively resolved any identified issues. Resolution had a positive impact on budget	
		and/or schedule and/or quality, or mitigated negative impacts on outcome.	

SECTION IV – NUMERICAL RATING			
1. SAFETY AND COMPLIANCE	RATINGS		
a. Compliance with Ontario Health & Safety Act (OHSA) and/or maintained site safety in accordance			
with all required regulation and legislation (ie Highway Traffic Act) b. Submitted and complied with Health & Safety Plan			
c. Immediate action taken for notices/incidents/safety issues			
TOTAL			

2. SERVICE DELIVERY, QUALITY AND CONFORMANCE	
a. Met scope of work requirements for service delivery and/or quality of goods as per the contract	
b. Service delivery requirements were conducted in a timely manner for regular and emergency service calls	
c. Pricing as per the contract	
d. Damage to City facilities or property	
e. Provision of adequate, competent, professional and responsive personnel	
f. Resolution of service calls on initial visit	
g. Resolution of deficiencies, damage and complaints	
TOTAL	

3. TOOLS, EQUIPMENT, SITE CONDITION AND SERVICE VEHICLES	
a. Preparedness – arrival on site with necessary tools and equipment to complete work	
b. Equipment and service vehicles clearly identified, operational, clean and orderly	
c. Timely response when additional parts or equipment required to complete work	
d. Work area/site left in the same condition as prior to arrival	
TOTAL	

4. ADMINISTRATION	
a. Compliance with sign-in and sign-out procedures	
b. Submission of work orders and invoices accurately complete and timely as per the contract	
c. Timely coordination of work with appropriate City staff	
d. Submission of documents as per the contract (WSIB, Insurance, monthly reports, etc)	
e. Clear, concise and timely written and verbal communication	
TOTAL	
GRAND TOTAL (1-4)	

Overall	POOR	BELOW	STANDARD	ABOVE
Performance Rating:	<50%	STANDARD 50-69%	70-79%	STANDARD >%80

## SECTION V – AUTHENTICATION AND RECOMMENDATION

I have evaluated the Vendor's performance in accordance with the Evaluation Process and have assigned a fair and objective rating to each criterion in Section IV of this Performance Scorecard.				
Comments:				
I met with the Vendor on to disc	cuss this Performance Scorecard and I have	e considered the Vendor's		
comments in making the below recommendation				
Based on my evaluation of the Vendor's performa recommended (the "Recommendation"):	ance in accordance with this Performance S	Scorecard, the following is		
□ The Vendor continue participating in the City's	s procurement opportunities.			
□ The City suspend the Vendor from participatir accordance with the City's Vendor Suspension Ad		eriod of 1 or 3 years in		
State suspension period:				
City Contracts Manager				
Print Name	Signature	Date		
Manager or Supervisor				
Print Name	Signature	Date		
TO BE COMPLETED ONLY IF THE RECOMMENDATI				
We confirm that we have reviewed this Performance Scorecard and discussed the ratings and recommendations with the City Contracts Manager, and have obtained the Manager's confirmation that the Performance Scorecard				
Director	accurately reflects the Vendor's performance. We hereby approve or reject the recommendation as follows:			
Print Name	Signature	Date		
Comments: Department Head				
Print Name	Signature	Date		
Comments: Purchasing Agent				
APPROVE REJECT				
Print Name	Signature	Date		
Comments: Attachments:				
DISTRIBUTION:				
Original: Purchasing Copy: Departmental Contract File				

Copy: Consultant